Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/273,468	VOLOKH, VLADIMIR	
	Examin r	Art Unit	
	Henry W.H. Tsai	3722	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):			
(1) <u>Henry W.H. Tsai</u> .	(3)		
(2) Mark Cohen.	(4)		
Date of Interview: 27 August 2002.			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:			
Claim(s) discussed:			
Identification of prior art discussed:			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.			
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: (a) Examiner have made several calls to confirm the abandonment but have not received any Applicant's response, (b) As the message left in Applicant's answering machine, the case is assumed to be intended to be abandoned.			
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.	opy of the amendments that w		
 i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a se checked). 	parate record of the substanc	e of the interviev	v(if box is
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.			
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an	HENRY KA YRAMIRA		
Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's sign	ature, if required	

نو ، (جمارت